

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

			/Ι		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,318	07/19/2001	Jeffery R. Parker	GLOLP0114US	1195	
7.	590 01/16/2003		ŭ		
Donald L. Otto Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP 19th Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115-2191			EXAMINER		
			SEMBER, THOMAS M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/909,318

Applicant(s)

Parker et al

Examiner

Thomas Sember

Art Unit **2875**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address			
	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	_MONTH(S) FROM			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If the p	If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.						
 Failure 	If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nis communication, ev	en if timely	filed, may reduce any			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 23, 26</u>			·			
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This acti						
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
-	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-56, 58-84, and 86-136			is/are pending in the application.			
4	4) \(\text{Claim(s)} \frac{1-56, 58-84, and 86-136} \\ 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \(8-21, 23, 26, 31, 32, 35-38, 40-43, 45-56, 58, 62-\) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-7, 22, 24, 25, 27-30, 33, 34, 39, 44, 59	9-61, 128, 13	1, 132, i	and 136 is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers						
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			,			
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)[\Box objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
• • • •	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d in App	lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisiona						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm			(DT)	2.410) Press No(e)			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u> </u>		0-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 6) Other:							
3) [X] [ut	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	of Clother:					

Application/Control Number: 09/909,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of figures 5 and 5a in Paper
 No. 5 is acknowledged.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/909,318

Art Unit: 2875

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-7, 22, 24, 25, 27-30, 33, 34, 39, 44, 59-61, 128, 131-132 and 136 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 183-289 of copending Application No. 09/256,275. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applicant merely uses different terminolgy to claim the same structure as the structure claimed in 09/256,275.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 5-7, 22, 24, 25, 28, 30, 33, 34, 39, 44, 59-61, 128 and 132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kojima et al. Kojima et al discloses a

Application/Control Number: 09/909,318 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

light directing film comprising a thin optically transparent substrate 2 having individual optical elements of 7 well defined shape on or in the substrate to redistribute light passing through the substrate towards a direction normal to the substrate. The optical elements are quite small in relation to the width and length of the substrate. At least some of the optical elements have at least one curved surface and at least one planar surface for redistributing light along two different axes, at least some of the optical elements, the curved surface of at least some of the optical elements has a different perimeter shape than a perimeter shape of the planar surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 5-7, 22, 24, 25, 28, 30, 33, 34, 39, 44, 59-61, 128 and 132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshikawa et al (figure 4).

 Yoshikawa et al (figure 4) discloses a light directing film comprising a thin optically transparent substrate 2 having individual optical elements of 31 well defined shape

Application/Control Number: 09/909,318 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

on or in the substrate to redistribute light passing through the substrate towards a direction normal to the substrate. The optical elements are quite small in relation to the width and length of the substrate. At least some of the optical elements have at least one curved surface 31 and at least one planar (defined as the flat top portion as depicted in figure 4) surface for redistributing light along two different axes, at least some of the optical elements, the curved surface 31 of at least some of the optical elements has a different perimeter shape than a perimeter shape of the planar surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-7, 22, 24, 25, 27-30, 33, 34, 39, 44, 59-61, 128, 131-132 and 136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Albinger, Jr.

Albinger, Jr. discloses a light directing film comprising a thin optically transparent substrate 1 having individual optical elements 10 of well defined shape on or in the substrate to redistribute light passing through the substrate towards a direction

Art Unit: 2875

normal to the substrate. The optical elements are quite small in relation to the width and length of the substrate. At least some of the optical elements have at least one curved surface and at least one planar surface for redistributing light along two different axes, at least some of the optical elements overlap each other.

Page 6

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is (703) 308-1938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea, can be reached at (703)-305-4939. The fax phone number for this group are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703)-872-9319 for after-final communications.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Thomas M. Sember

Primary Examiner

January 14, 2003